

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

Micah Anderson,

Plaintiff

V.

Caesars Palace, et al.,

Defendants

Case No. 2:19-cv-00742-JAD-VCF

**Order Overruling Objection,
Adopting Report & Recommendation,
and Dismissing Action as Frivolous**

[ECF Nos. 1, 3, 7, 8, 9]

10 Pro se plaintiff Micah Anderson brings this action against a handful of hotel-casinos,
11 their owners, and John Doe. Her allegations consist of just over fifty cause-of-action buzz words
12 including “marine product liability,” “prisoner petitions,” and “alien detainee” and zero facts.¹
13 Magistrate Judge Ferenbach, who has screened this filing under 28 U.S.C. § 1915, recommends
14 that I dismiss this action as frivolous and deny all pending motions as moot.²

15 Anderson objects to that recommendation, “requesting This Honorable Court to
16 reconsider Plaintiff of not paying filing fee full due to no income.”³ She says nothing about the
17 Magistrate Judge’s conclusion that this action is frivolous or his recommendation to dismiss it.
18 Having reviewed Anderson’s complaint, the magistrate judge’s report and recommendation, and
19 Anderson’s objection⁴ de novo, I reach the same conclusion as the magistrate judge and find that

¹ ECF No. 1-1 at 5.

2 ECF No. 3.

22 | ³ ECF No. 8 at 1.

²³ ⁴⁴ Anderson styles this objection as a motion for reconsideration, *see* ECF No. 8, but it is more properly construed as an objection to the recommendation under LR IB 3-2 and 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B).

1 this action is frivolous and should be dismissed on that basis and without leave to amend because
2 amendment is unlikely to bring legitimacy to it.

3 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 4 • Anderson's objections [ECF No. 8] are **OVERRULED**;
- 5 • The Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 3] is **ADOPTED** in
6 **full**;
- 7 • This action is **DISMISSED** without leave to amend;
- 8 • All other motions [ECF Nos. 1, 7, 9] are **DENIED** without prejudice as moot.
- 9 • The Clerk of Court is directed to **ENTER JUDGMENT ACCORDINGLY** and
10 **CLOSE THIS CASE**.

11 Dated: May 19, 2019

12 _____ 
13 U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23